

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested. The office action dated March 30, 2004, alleges that the Applicant's response filed on December 8, 2004 does not fully address the objections and rejection. Applicants respond as follows:

Objection to the Specification

Objection to the specification was maintained in the office action because the Examiner thought that Applicants only corrected the typographical errors that were suggested. Contrarily, the specification was reviewed and no further correction is believed to be necessary. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the objection.

Drawings

The office action still requires corrected drawings because the Examiner allegedly has not received the corrected drawings in the previous response. Formal drawings, however, were submitted on December 8, 2003 via first class mail. In this reply a copy of those drawings are again being submitted.

35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph

The office action maintains that the "registry of keywords being built dynamically to include the extended command" recited in independent claim 1, and "the keyword repository being built dynamically to include the extended command" as recited in claim 3, could not be found in the specification despite the Applicants having pointed out the description in the previous response. Applicants again traverse this rejection. The specification, for example, in the last paragraph on page 4

explains how this is done. Further, the specification describes, for example, throughout the Summary and the Description sections, how this is done. A person of ordinary skill in the art, for example, a computer programmer, would understand from reading the specification, how the keyword repository or registry is built dynamically.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the objections and rejections and a favorable office action is respectfully requested.

This communication is believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action and every effort has been made to place the application in condition for allowance. If a telephone interview would be of assistance in advancing prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is requested to telephone the number provided below.

No fee is believed to be due with this reply, however, if fee is due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge Deposit Account 02-0393, credit any overpayments, charge any additional fees or any underpayment of fees to that account.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 28, 2004

Eunhee Park  
Eunhee Park  
Registration No. 42,976  
Baker & McKenzie  
805 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone (212) 751-5700  
Facsimile (212) 759-9133